UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	21 MC 100 (AKH)
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
EDWARD MOJICA	DOCKET NO.
Plaintif	fs, CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defenda	ints.
•	K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, plaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
Ν	NOTICE OF ADOPTION
instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herei	Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the n in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual e marked with an '☑' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s) needed, below.
Plaintiffs, EDWARD MOJICA, by h NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defer	is/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & ndant(s), respectfully allege:
]	. PARTIES
	A. PLAINTIFF(S)
1. Plaintiff, EDWARD MOS a citizen of New York residing at 950 E. 4th	IICA (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and Walk#4B, New York, NY 10009 (OR)
2. Alternatively, □, and brings this claim in h	is the of Decedent is (her) capacity as of the Estate of

Ca	se 1:07-cv-04204-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11
citizen of	residing at	(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plaint	SPOUSE at all relevant times in the state of	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the
		and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:
4. Department o	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/11/2 f Corrections as a Investigator at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for NYC
I	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations
☐ The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)		The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for
Approximatel	y; hours per day; for y days total.	Approximately days total. ====================================
□ The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. □ The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below: From on or about 9/11/2001 until _9/11/2001_; Approximately _8_ hours per day; for Approximately _1_ days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	
	Please read this doc	cument carefully.

2 of 9

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	l <u> </u>
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☐ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL □EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
L / WORLD INADL COMIANI, L.I.	LEVAINGEN VIRUNIVIEN LAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC. ☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	Ç
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04204-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☐ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☐; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☑ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
III CAUSES OF ACTION			
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:			
▼	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
√	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-04204-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Cardiac Problems; Chest Pain Date of onset: 10/1/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Respiratory Injury: COPD; Cough; Lung Problems; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; and Wheezing Date of onset: 9/1/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 2/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Rashes/Itching, Sores, Lesions; Skin Rash Date of onset: 9/1/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:		
	Pain and suffering	✓ Medical monitoring✓ Other: Not yet determined.
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
√	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits	
V	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 2, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Edward Mojica

Bv:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

March 2, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Case 1:07-cv-04204-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 11 of 11 Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK EDWARD MOJICA, Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at

20

Yours, etc.,

Dated,

at

Μ.

WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP